## ARTZ & ARTZ P.C.

OCT-06-2005 10:48

**Law Offices** 

CENTRAL FAX CENTER

248 2239522

Intellectual Property and Technology Related Causes

OCT 0 6 2005

## FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

Fax: (248) 223-9522 Phone: (248) 223-9500

TO:	Mail ! Paten	Stop Appeal Brief- ts	
FIRM: USP7			
FAX NO.:		273-8300	
======= From:	====	Jerome R. Drouillard	
Date:		October 6, 2005	
Our File No	. :	81105266DIV (FGT 2033 PA)	
Your Ref. N Comments:	lo.:	10/710,424  Attached is Brief in Appeal pursuant to Notice of Appeal dated 8/9/05.	
		tained in this facsimile message may be confidential and/or legally privile	eged

The information contained in this facsimile message may be confidential and/or legally privileged information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any copying, dissemination or distribution of confidential or privileged information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone, and we will arrange for the return of the facsimile. Thank you.

If there are any problems during transmission, please call: (248) 223-9500.

<u>Donna Kraft</u> (Operator)

28333 TELEGRAPH ROAD, SUITE 250, SOUTHFIELD, MICHIGAN 48034 TELEPHONE: (248) 223-9500 -- FACSIMILE: (248) 223-9522

## RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 0 6 2005

Patent

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

In Re Application of:

Gregory A. Kaepp

Serial No.

10/710,424

Group Art Unit: 3611

Filed:

07/09/2004

Examiner: Hurley, Kevin

For:

AUTOMOTIVE BUMPER WITH INTEGRAL HITCH COVER

Attorney Docket No. 81105266DIV

## CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a))

I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, being transmitted by facsimile to (571) 273-8300 (Centralized Facsimile Number).

Signature Signature

Date: 10-6-2005

Donna Kraft

#### BRIEF ON APPEAL

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The following Appeal Brief is submitted pursuant to the Notice of Appeal dated August 9, 2005, for the above-identified application.

P.03/08

U.S.S.N. 10/710,424

2

81105266DIV

#### I. Real Party in Interest

The real party in interest in this matter is Ford Global Technologies, LLC, which is a wholly owned subsidiary of Ford Motor Company both in Dearborn, Michigan (hereinafter "Ford").

#### II. Related Appeals and Interferences

There are no other known appeals or interferences which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

#### III. Status of the Claims

Claims 1-6 stand rejected in the Final Office Action.

#### IV. Status of Amendments

There have been no Amendments filed after the final rejection.

## V. Summary of Claimed Subject Matter

As shown best in Figure 9 and as set forth in Applicants' specification paragraphs 7, 10, and 32, a bumper cover, 20, and an access door, 28, conceal a tubular hitch receiver, 26, mounted within a bumper assembly of an automotive vehicle. Bumper cover 20 has an aperture, 24, (Figure 6) for accessing not only an exterior portion but also an interior portion of hitch receiver 26. Access door 28 has an outer surface and an inner surface. An attaching bracket, 46, is mounted to the inner surface. Attaching bracket 46 is adapted for telescopic engagement with hitch receiver 26, and access door 28 is sized so as to engage bumper cover 20 and extend about and overlap the periphery of the bumper cover's aperture, so as to generally close the entirety of the aperture, thereby concealing the hitch receiver, while supporting the access door upon the bumper cover.

#### VI. Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal

The following issues are presented in this appeal:

Are Claims 4 and 6 properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Smith et al?

Are Claims 1 and 2 properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith in view of Morrison?

3

81105266DIV

Are Claims 3 and 5 properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al in view of Randall?

## VII. Argument

## The Rejection of Claims 4 and 6 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b)

Claims 4 and 6 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by *Smith et al* (6,260,874). The Examiner states that *Smith* discloses an access door concealing a tubular hitch receiver mounted within a bumper assembly of an automotive vehicle, wherein the bumper assembly has a "bumper cover" with an aperture for accessing not only an exterior portion but also an interior portion of the hitch receiver.

Appellants respectfully submit that *Smith* discloses nothing regarding a <u>bumper cover</u>. Rather, *Smith* does disclose a <u>hitch</u> cover. As set forth in abundant detail in Appellants' specification, claims and drawings, it is clear that a bumper cover comprises a member which conceals the entirety of the bumper. In other words, the bumper cover is that part of the vehicle which covers the entirety of the bumper and which is observable to a casual observer walking around the vehicle. And, an "access door" is used to close an aperture in the bumper cover. Moreover, Appellants' definition of "bumper cover" is consistent with contemporary automotive engineering parlance. According to the lexicography of the present application, Applicants' bumper cover is a <u>not</u> a piece plugged into a receiver hitch. As a result, because *Smith* discloses nothing regarding the defined bumper cover, and claims 4 and 6 are allowable over *Smith* and should be passed to issue.

The Examiner has asserted that "there is no support in the specification for applicant's special defiinition of 'bumper cover'." In this regard the Appellants respectfully note that there is abundant explanation of this term. Anyone can perform a simple search for the term "bumper cover" in Appellants' specification. Having done so, it is easily see that the term "bumper cover" means precisely what Appellants have asserted it means. Appellants therefore respectfully request the Board to reverse the Examiner's position with respect to Claims 4 and 6.

## The Rejection of Claims 1-2 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Claims 1-2 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Smith* in view of *Morrison* (6,139,044). The Examiner states that *Smith* discloses a bumper assembly having a bumper cover 50, and an aperture for accessing not only an interior portion but also an exterior portion of hitch receiver 42. The Examiner agrees that *Smith* fails to disclose providing

-)

U.S.S.N. 10/710,424

4

81105266DIV

an access door engaged to the receiver, but states that *Morrison* discloses a method for providing an access door for concealing a hitch receiver including providing a door having an outer decorated surface and an inner surface, with the access door having an attaching bracket mounted to the inner surface. The Examiner states that it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to apply the method disclosed by *Morrison* to the bumper assembly disclosed by *Smith* in order to cover up and decorate the hitch receiver when not in use.

Smith '044 discloses an integrated bumper and hitch assembly in which there is a hitch protruding below the bumper. The hitch is covered by hitch cover 50. As clearly seen from Figure 2 of the '044 patent, Smith's hitch cover 50 is not a bumper cover nor is it the bumper. In other words, Smith '044 does not have a bumper cover with an aperture for accessing a hitch receiver. The Examiner's assertion that Smith has a bumper cover with an aperture does not make it true.

Even assuming, for the sake of argument, that the Examiner is correct, in that *Smith '044* discloses a bumper cover having an aperture, the "aperture" of *Smith* does not give access to external and internal portions of the hitch receiver. For this reason, too, Claims 1 and 2 are not properly rejected over the combination of *Smith* and *Morrison*, whether taken singly, or in combination with each other. Appellants accordingly request the Board to reverse the Examiner's rejection of Claims 1 and 2.

## The Rejection of Claims 3 and 5 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Claims 3 and 5 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Smith et al* '874 in view of *Randall* (3,596,926). However, claim 3 depends from claim 1, and claim 5 depends from claim 4, which are allowable over *Smith* and certainly allowable over the combination of *Smith* and *Randall*, given that *Randall* teaches nothing more than a cover placed over a hitch. As a result, Appellants respectfully request the Board to reverse the Examiner's rejection of Claims 3 and 5.

#### VIII, Claims Appendix

A copy of each of the claims involved in this appeal, namely Claims 1-6 is attached as a Claims Appendix.

#### IX. Evidence Appendix

None.

5

81105266DIV

#### X. Related Proceedings

None.

#### XI. Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, Appellants respectfully request that the Board direct the Examiner in charge of this examination to withdraw the rejections.

Please charge any fees required in the filing of this appeal to deposit account 06-1510.

Respectfully submitted,

Jerome R. Drouillard

Reg. No. 28,008

Attorney for Appellants

Date:\_

Artz & Artz, PC

28333 Telegraph Road

Suite 250

Southfield, MI 48034

248-223-9500

Fax: 248-223-9522

6

81105266DIV

#### **CLAIMS APPENDIX**

1. A method for providing an access door for concealing a hitch receiver in a bumper assembly of an automotive vehicle; with said method comprising the steps of:

providing a bumper cover with an aperture for accessing not only an interior portion, but also an exterior portion of the hitch receiver;

providing an overlapping access door having an outer surface and an inner surface, with said access door further having an attaching bracket mounted to said inner surface, with said bracket being adapted for engagement with said hitch receiver, and with said access door being sized so as to engage with said bumper cover and extending about the periphery of said aperture, so as to generally close said aperture, thereby concealing said hitch receiver; and

installing said access door upon said vehicle by engaging said attaching bracket with said hitch receiver, and by placing the access door in contact with the bumper cover at the periphery of said aperture, such that the access door is supported not only by the hitch receiver, but also by the bumper cover.

- 2. A method according to Claim 1, wherein said outer surface of said access door is decorated.
- 3. A method according to Claim 1, wherein said access door is tethered to said bumper assembly.
- 4. A bumper cover and an access door concealing a tubular hitch receiver mounted within a bumper assembly of an automotive vehicle, wherein said bumper cover has an aperture for accessing not only an exterior portion but also an interior portion of the hitch receiver, with said access door having an outer surface and an inner surface, with said access door further having an attaching bracket mounted to said inner surface, with said attaching bracket being adapted for telescopic engagement with said hitch receiver, and with said access door being sized so as to engage said bumper cover and extend about and overlap the periphery of said aperture, so as to generally close the entirety of said aperture, thereby concealing said hitch receiver, while supporting the access door upon the bumper cover.

7

81105266DIV

- 5. An access door according to Claim 4, wherein said access door further comprises a tether having a first end attached to said access door and a second end adapted for attachment to a vehicle.
- 6. An access door according to Claim 4, wherein said access door further comprises at least one spring-loaded latch for engaging said attaching bracket with a hitch receiver.